

PRETRIAL SERVICES

Court Services

Public Safety Assessment Volume, Accuracy, Risk Factors, and Concurrency


Community Supervision

Open and Closed Supervisions, Caseload Demographics, Technical Violation Rate, Public Safety Rate, and Appearance Rate

To navigate through this dashboard, use the previous (<) and next (>) page buttons below.

Court Services: September PSA Volume


The Public Safety Assessment (PSA) is an actuarial tool that uses factors to predict pretrial success or failure. The PSA, along with other information, can be used to help inform release decisions. The PSA does not make release decisions. For more information about the PSA, see <u>Advance Pretrial Policy & Research</u>.











Court Services: 2023 Concurrency Rate

Concurrency refers to the rate at which the recommendation provided by the release matrix aligns with the release decision made by the judicial official. It is important to note the PSA and release matrix are just two of multiple sources of information a judicial official can use when making a release decision. Neither the PSA nor release matrix directs a judicial official as to if and under what conditions a person should be released. Therefore, the concurrency rate will never be 100%.

On each supervision assignment, there is a judicial override flag that indicates a deviation from the release matrix recommendation. The concurrency rate is calculated by dividing the number of judicial overrides with the number of supervisions opened. Only supervisions with a PSA scores are used in this calculation.

316 Opened Supervisions with PSA

129

Judicial Override

Administrative Supervision

Standard Supervision

Intensive Supervision


Release Decision

Release

Total

59.2% **Concurrency Rate**

113



71

57


316


75


Court Services: 2023 Concurrency Details

316 supervisions opened this fiscal year had an associated release recommendation. Of those, **113** had a recommendation of release based on the release condition matrix. Below are the details of these supervisions, including the Pretrial Services supervision track they were assigned to.

Supervision Level	Number of Supervisions
+ Administrative Supervision	102
Standard Supervision	3
H Intensive Supervision	3
⊞ In Custody	5
Total	113







Charges	Number of Supervisions
14-33(C)(2) 1389 A1 ASSAULT ON A FEMALE	25
14-33(A) 1368 2 SIMPLE ASSAULT	16
14-32.4(B) 1323 H ASSAULT BY STRANGULATION	5
4-277.1 5328 1 COMMUNICATING THREATS	4
90-95(A)(1) 3516 H PWIMSD SCH II CS	4
70-95(A)(3) 3465 I POSSESS METHAMPHETAMINE	4
4-33(C)(1) 1388 A1 ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON	3
14-54(B) 2214 1 BREAKING OR ENTERING (M)	3
20-138.1 5405 NONE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	3
90-113.22(A) 3401 1 POSSESS DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	3
14-100 2632 H OBTAIN PROPERTY FALSE PRETENSE	2
14-134.3(A) 5716 1 DOMESTIC CRIM TRESPASS(M)	2
17 AND EDUCATION DEDUCATIONS	^

Community Supervision: September Assignments

147 Supervisions Opened

132 Supervisions Closed

A person can be assigned to pretrial supervision by a district or superior court judge. They can be assigned to supervision on all or just select pending charges. For the purposes of this dashboard, a supervision is counted as one supervision regardless of the number of charges the person has been assigned to supervision for. For example, if a person has six pending charges in Buncombe County, and they have been assigned to supervision on four of those charges, that is counted as one supervision.

Select Race Category:

American Indian / Alaskan Native

Black


White


Select Gender Category:

Female

Male

None







Community Supervision: FY 2023 Caseload


742 Average Daily Caseload





Average Daily Caseload				
Fiscal Month	Female	Male	Total	
July	174	583	757	
August	170	572	742	
September	165	561	726	





In some situations, a person is booked into jail on a charge that is not assigned a charge type in the database. In these circumstances, the charge is listed as "Unclassified." Examples of such charges include pretrial violations, local ordinances, and certain types of drug charges.

Community Supervision: FY 2023 Technical Violation Rate


394
Closed Supervisions

38 Closed - Technical Only Technical Violation Rate

9.6%

Goal: 14.8%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions set forth by a judge (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is counted as a technical violation if "technical violation" is the only disposition reason identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal of no more than 14.8% of cases closed be due only to a technical violation. Technical Violation Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.




Community Supervision: FY 2023 Public Safety Rate

394
Closed Supervisions

37 Closed - New Charge Public Safety Rate
90.6%

Goal: 90.0%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is closed due to new charge if "new charge" is one of the disposition reasons identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal that at least 90% of supervisions are closed without the client receiving a new charge during the supervision. Public Safety Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.




Community Supervision: FY 2023 Appearance Rate

394
Closed Supervisions

74 Closed Due To FTA Appearance Rate
81.2%!
Goal: 90.0%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is closed due to FTA if "FTA" is one of the disposition reasons identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal that at least 90% of case are closed without the client receiving an order for arrest due to a failure to appear during the supervision. Appearance Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.



Community Supervision: FY 2023 Compliance

394
Closed Supervisions

245
Compliant
149
Non-Compliant

62.2%
Compliant
37.8%
Non-Compliant

A supervision is defined as compliant if it is closed without a technical violation, a new charge, or a failure to appear. Pretrial Services aims for technical violation rates closer to 0% while appearance and public safety rates should be closer to 100%.

Supervision Compliance by Race

Super vision compliance by Nace				
American Indian / Alaskan Native				
3	75.0%			
Closed Compliant	Compliance Rate			
D				
Black				
60	61.9%			
Closed Compliant	Compliance Rate			
White				
182	62.1%			
Closed Compliant	Compliance Rate			

