

PRETRIAL SERVICES

Court Services

Public Safety Assessment Volume, Accuracy, Risk Factors, and Concurrency


Community Supervision

Open and Closed Supervisions, Caseload Demographics, Technical Violation Rate, Public Safety Rate, and Appearance Rate

To navigate through this dashboard, use the previous (<) and next (>) page buttons below.


Court Services: April PSA Volume

The Public Safety Assessment (PSA) is an actuarial tool that uses factors to predict pretrial success or failure. The PSA, along with other information, can be used to help inform release decisions. The PSA does not make release decisions. For more information about the PSA, see <u>Advance Pretrial Policy & Research</u>.









Court Services: 2022 Concurrency Rate

Concurrency refers to the rate at which the recommendation provided by the release matrix aligns with the release decision made by the judicial official. It is important to note the PSA and release matrix are just two of multiple sources of information a judicial official can use when making a release decision. Neither the PSA nor release matrix directs a judicial official as to if and under what conditions a person should be released. Therefore, the concurrency rate will never be 100%.

On each supervision assignment, there is a judicial override flag that indicates a deviation from the release matrix recommendation. The concurrency rate is calculated by dividing the number of judicial overrides with the number of supervisions opened. Only supervisions with a PSA scores are used in this calculation.

1.284 Opened Supervisions with PSA

522

Judicial Override

Administrative Supervision


Standard Supervision

Intensive Supervision

Total

Release Decision


59.3% **Concurrency Rate**





Court Services: 2022 Concurrency Details

1,284 supervisions opened this fiscal year had an associated release recommendation. Of those, **505** had a recommendation of release based on the release condition matrix. Below are the details of these supervisions, including the Pretrial Services supervision track they were assigned to.

Supervision Level	Number of Supervisions
+ Administrative Supervision	444
+ Standard Supervision	22
+ Intensive Supervision	16
+ In Custody	23
Total	505
	,







Charges	Number of Supervisions
14-33(C)(2) 1389 A1 ASSAULT ON A FEMALE	108
14-33(A) 1368 2 SIMPLE ASSAULT	55
90-95(A)(3) 3465 I POSSESS METHAMPHETAMINE	27
14-277.1 5328 1 COMMUNICATING THREATS	20
14-72(A) 2322 1 MISDEMEANOR LARCENY	17
14-32.4(B) 1323 H ASSAULT BY STRANGULATION	16
14-33(A) 1336 2 ASSAULT AND BATTERY	14
14-33(C)(1) 1388 A1 ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON	10
4-54(A) 2212 H BREAKING AND OR ENTERING (F)	10
20-138.1 5405 NONE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	10
90-113.22(A) 3401 1 POSSESS DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	10
90-95(A)(3) 3523 I FELONY POSSESSION SCH II CS	10
4 / 30/D\/0\IO0E /III I ADOENIV AETED DDE AIV/ENTED	^

Community Supervision: April Assignments

114 Supervisions Opened

140 Supervisions Closed

A person can be assigned to pretrial supervision by a district or superior court judge. They can be assigned to supervision on all or just select pending charges. For the purposes of this dashboard, a supervision is counted as one supervision regardless of the number of charges the person has been assigned to supervision for. For example, if a person has six pending charges in Buncombe County, and they have been assigned to supervision on four of those charges, that is counted as one supervision.

Select Race Category:

American Indian / Alaskan Native

Asian / Pacific Islander

Black


White


Select Gender Category:

Female

Male


None







Community Supervision: FY (Blank) Caseload


769 Average Daily Caseload





Fiscal Month	Female	Male	Total
July	191	656	846
August	187	599	786
September	186	583	768
October	190	575	765
November	190	555	746
December	198	549	747
January	207	570	777
February	198	587	785
March	179	564	742
April	173	557	730





In some situations, a person is booked into jail on a charge that is not assigned a charge type in the database. In these circumstances, the charge is listed as "Unclassified." Examples of such charges include pretrial violations, local ordinances, and certain types of drug charges.

Community Supervision: FY 2022 Technical Violation Rate


1,466
Closed Supervisions

163 Closed - Technical Only Technical Violation Rate

1 1.1%

Goal: 14.8%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions set forth by a judge (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is counted as a technical violation if "technical violation" is the only disposition reason identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal of no more than 14.8% of cases closed be due only to a technical violation. Technical Violation Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.




Community Supervision: FY 2022 Public Safety Rate

1,466
Closed Supervisions

139 Closed - New Charge Public Safety Rate
90.5%
Goal: 90.0%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is closed due to new charge if "new charge" is one of the disposition reasons identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal that at least 90% of supervisions are closed without the client receiving a new charge during the supervision. Public Safety Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.




Community Supervision: FY 2022 Appearance Rate

1,466
Closed Supervisions

332 Closed Due To FTA Appearance Rate
77.4%!
Goal: 90.0%

When a supervision is closed, it is flagged as successful or unsuccessful. Unsuccessful supervisions are closed due to the following reasons: a new charge occurs, an individual fails to appear in court, an individual violates release conditions (technical violation), or some combination thereof. A closed supervision that is unsuccessful is closed due to FTA if "FTA" is one of the disposition reasons identified on the supervision. Pretrial Services has set a goal that at least 90% of case are closed without the client receiving an order for arrest due to a failure to appear during the supervision. Appearance Rate does not include supervisions that were never released from custody.



Community Supervision: FY 2022 Compliance

1,466
Closed Supervisions

861
Compliant
605
Non-Compliant

58.7%
Compliant
41.3%
Non-Compliant

A supervision is defined as compliant if it is closed without a technical violation, a new charge, or a failure to appear. Pretrial Services aims for technical violation rates closer to 0% while appearance and public safety rates should be closer to 100%.

Supervision Compliance by Race

American Indian / Alaskan Native

3 37.5%
Closed Compliant Compliance Rate

Asian / Pacific Islander

7 58.3% Closed Compliant Compliance Rate

Black

180 62.7% Closed Compliant Compliance Rate

White

671 57.9% Closed Compliant Compliance Rate

■ Technical Violation Rate
■ Appearance Rate
■ Public Safety Rate

